

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,595	04/22/2004	Cesar A. Galindo-Legaria	MSFT-3957/160078.02	6876	
41505 7550 08001/2008 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MIZRAHI, DIANE D		
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA. PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/829,595	GALINDO-LEGARIA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DIANE MIZRAHI	2165				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Kenneth R. Eiferman</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Diane Mizrahi</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 31 July 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>34,39,43,47,53,67,79 and 93</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Attorney Eiterman agreed to amend the claims above and any other claims relevant</u> to overcome the 35 USC 101 and Double Patenting rejection of June 6, 2008. <u>Attorney should overcome the rejection of Claims 34-38 under 35 USC 103</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Diane Mizrahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2	165				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi					

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Us. Peter and Tackeman Office.

Us. Peter and Tackeman Office.

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080731